



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ex. Eashoo proposed the following amendment: place limitation of claim 22 into claim 20; cancel claims 22, and non-elected claims 32-34; change dependency of claim 23 from 22 to 20. Ex. Eashoo noted that applicant's 'efficiency' arguments filed 11/03/2003 were persuasive but no efficiency is recited in claim 20 (as filed 11/3/03). Mr. Hartman approved the examiner's amendment. Ex. Eashoo also noted that the IDS filed 07/03/2003 were considered and an initialed copy will be mailed along with the next Office action. .